UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,109 04/08/2004		Michael Cafaro	HEL177/4-010US	8231
21586 VINSON & EL	7590 03/19/2008 KINS, L.L.P.	8	EXAMINER	
1001 FANNIN 2300 FIRST CI	STREET		RUNNING, RACHEL A	
HOUSTON, TX	<del>-</del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

cporter@velaw.com IPTLdocket@velaw.com bmelder@velaw.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/821,109	CAFARO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
RACHEL A. RUNNING	3732	

	RACHEL A. RUNNING	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, www. with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the si set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount on the nortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed.</li> </ul>	·		•
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-7 and 9-13.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	」 will not be entered, or b) ⊠ will	·	_
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li> <li>13. ☐ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.</li> </ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Robyn Doan/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3732	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicant's argument that Leung fails to disclose a heater conatined in the barrel for heating the barrel, Leung does disclose in paragraph 25 "Barrel portion 300 houses heater 216", therefore, the heater is housed in the barrel portion. Further applicant argues that Leung does not heat the ahir of a user by conduction of heat from a heated surface to the hair, however, paragraph 15 of Leung discloses that "a barrel or barrel portion having a caviety and having a heatable surface with one or more vents" therefore Leung does disclose that heater is capable of heating the barrel.

Continuation of 13. Other: The Declaration filed on February 19, 2008 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Cha reference (US 2005/0056631). The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish applicant's alleged conception and actual reduction to practice of the invention in this country or a NAFTA or WTO member country after the effective date of the Cha reference. Applicant has failed to show any evidence of the conception and reduction to practice. Applicant states in the Declaration that the invention disclosure Exhibit A shows the evidence, however, no Exhibit A is attached to any documents applicant submitted.